

Trapped Surfaces in Vacuum Spacetimes

R. Beig*

Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität Wien
e-mail BEIG@AWIRAP.BITNET

N. Ó Murchadha*

Physics Department, University College
Cork, Ireland
e-mail STEP8030@IRUCCVAX.UCC.IE

Abstract

An earlier construction by the authors of sequences of globally regular, asymptotically flat initial data for the Einstein vacuum equations containing trapped surfaces for large values of the parameter is extended, from the time symmetric case considered previously, to the case of maximal slices. The resulting theorem shows rigorously that there exists a large class of initial configurations for non-time symmetric pure gravitational waves satisfying the assumptions of the Penrose singularity theorem and so must have a singularity to the future.

*) Supported by Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung in Österreich, Projekt Nr. P9376-PHY.

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the existence of maximal, asymptotically flat initial data for the Einstein vacuum equations which contain trapped surfaces. The significance of this problem stems from the singularity theorems of General Relativity – or rather, the issues they raise. Take the Penrose theorem [1] for example. This shows that a spacetime N with reasonable matter (in particular: a vacuum spacetime) evolving from a non-compact (in particular: asymptotically flat) Cauchy surface $\widetilde{M} \subset N$ cannot be null-geodesically complete when $\widetilde{M}$ contains a trapped surface (TS). The theorem still holds when ‘trapped surface’ is replaced by ‘outer trapped surface’. By a TS we shall always mean an outer trapped surface. An obvious question to ask, is under what circumstances the TS-condition is actually satisfied. From the point of view of the initial-value problem this question really consists of two parts:

1. Can one find conditions on $\widetilde{M}$, e.g. in terms of ‘free data’, in order for $\widetilde{M}$ to contain a TS?
2. What are conditions on $\widetilde{M}$ so that there is a TS in a Cauchy development of $\widetilde{M}$?

Thirdly, since the singular behaviour predicted by the singularity theorems is merely the existence of incomplete causal geodesics, one would like to understand the local and global nature of these ‘singularities’. In particular, one would like to know

3. Does N satisfy some version of the cosmic censorship hypothesis (CCH)?

Clearly, question 3.) is the most interesting one. Since 2.) and 3.) are by their nature questions concerning the global Cauchy problem (see Chrusciel [2] for a review of what is known in this area) they are also very difficult. Only in the case of gravity coupled to a massless scalar field, and with spherical symmetry imposed on the geometry and the source, has a full analysis of these issues been achieved in the work of Christodoulou [3].

The present paper is devoted to the study, for initial data corresponding to pure gravitational radiation, question 1.) in the above list. Although this is a more modest task than attacking 2.) or 3.), it is widely assumed that a full understanding of 1.) should also shed light on 3.). The reason for this lies in the belief, which is of course just one aspect of CCH, that the maximal Cauchy development of an asymptotically flat slice $\widetilde{M}$ with trapped surfaces is a black hole spacetime. In particular, singularities should be inside the apparent horizon which, in turn, is surrounded by an event horizon. No counterexamples are known to this ‘event horizon conjecture’ (see Israel [4] for steps towards a resolution). Initial data like the ones constructed in this paper are thus considered to be relatively ‘safe’ from the viewpoint of CCH. At the other extreme there have been studies of families of initial data the limiting members of which are singular, but without TS’s. Such families, found numerically by Nakamura et al. [4], mimic the formation of naked singularities which Shapiro and Teukolsky [5] obtain in their simulations of collapsing localized dust. Since these solutions have only axial symmetry, the singularities are thought to be a much more serious threat to CCH than previously known counterexamples all of which are spherical. Sequences analogous to the ones in [4], but for the vacuum case, which are in a sense complementary to the vacuum sequences considered in our previous work [6], have recently been found numerically by Abrahams et al. [7] (see also O’Murchadha [8]). These may be taken as possible evidence for the violation of CCH even in the vacuum case, but the issue is completely open.

There has been considerable interest recently in conditions on Cauchy data in order to have trapped surfaces. Only the spherically symmetric case is fully understood so far [9]. For generalizations of these results, mostly motivated by Thorne’s Hoop Conjecture, see Malec [10] and Flannagan [11]. For a completely different approach, based on a suggestion by Penrose, see Tod [12] and Barrabes et al. [13]. (Compare, also, the results of Schoen and Yau [14], together with O’Murchadha [15].)

In our work [6] we have shown the existence of time symmetric, asymptotically flat, vacuum initial data with TS’s, thus settling a question raised by Bartnik [16]. (For earlier numerical evidence see Eppley [17] and Miyama [18].) Our construction is based in an essential manner on the conformal approach (see Choquet-Bruhat and York [19]) to solving the constraints of General Relativity. In the time-symmetric case this consists of picking a 3-metric $\tilde{g}$ on $\tilde{M}$ which tends to a fixed flat metric δ near infinity and seeking as the physical metric a metric g' in the conformal class defined by $\tilde{g}$, also tending to δ at infinity. This gives rise to an elliptic equation for the conformal factor $\tilde{\Phi}$, the Lichnerowicz equation, together with the boundary condition that $\tilde{\Phi}$ tends to 1 at infinity. Some condition on $\tilde{g}$ has to be satisfied in order for there to be a solution of this problem. This condition has the form of an inequality on a global conformal invariant associated with $\tilde{g}$, the Yamabe number Y (Lee and Parker [20], see also O’Murchadha [21]), namely $Y > 0$. We now choose a sequence of metrics $\tilde{g}_n$, called a critical sequence (CS), for which $Y > 0$, but tending as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to a metric for which $Y = 0$. It follows that the ADM masses m_n increase without bound as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It furthermore can be shown that higher-order multipoles diverge no more rapidly than the mass for large n . From this, in turn, one can infer that TS’s form. The present work extends the above construction to the maximal (non-time symmetric) case, provided the suitably rescaled extrinsic curvature stays bounded as n increases. Then the ADM mass is dominated by its time symmetric part, so that essentially the same conclusion obtains as before. In the context of the discussion at the beginning of this section some features of the above scenario are worth pointing out. Firstly, for large n , CS’s can **not** result from time evolution via the Einstein equations. To see this, recall that maximal, asymptotically flat slices in a single spacetime asymptotically have to be boosts or translations of each other (see Bartnik et al. [22]). We now invoke the fact that the spatial metrics $\tilde{g}$ in our construction are, in addition to being asymptotically flat at infinity to leading order, asymptotically spherical (“Schwarzschildian”) to the next order. This implies that asymptotic boosts are no longer allowed, and we are left with the possibility of slices which are mapped into each other by asymptotic translations. But then the mass m has to be conserved which contradicts $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n = \infty$. This suggests that one way to improve our results would be to try to establish a universal bound on Y , below which g' has TS’s so that it would no longer be necessary to consider sequences with $Y \rightarrow 0$. Secondly, it would be interesting to have a better understanding of Y in terms of conformal geometry on the local or quasilocal level and use this to study possible analogues of the Hoop Conjecture in the vacuum case.

The critical sequences discussed in [6] are explicitly time-symmetric. This means that the TS’s which form are both future and past trapped. This, in turn, means that the spacetimes evolving from these data for large n are both future- and past-incomplete. Thus, if cosmic censorship holds, we should have a configuration which starts out as a white hole in the distant past, expands to reach an instant of time-symmetry and then collapses to form a black hole in the distant future. In contrast, in our current work we break the time-symmetry assumption on the initial hypersurface by introducing some nonzero (albeit relatively small) extrinsic curvature. Thus, as we move along a critical sequence, we do not expect future and past apparent horizons

to appear simultaneously. Of course, if we move sufficiently far along any critical sequence the effects of the ever-increasing ADM mass will swamp the extrinsic curvature and we return to the time-symmetric situation with past as well as future singularities. Still, along any critical sequence we should have a band of data, solutions to the constraints, with a future apparent horizon but no past apparent horizon (if they appear in the opposite order, just multiply the extrinsic curvature by -1). These data now form possible candidates to generate spacetimes which represent the inflow of gravitational radiation from past null infinity of such an amount as to cause gravitational collapse and the formation of a black hole. We realize that the absence of a past horizon in an initial data set is no guarantee of regularity into the past (see question 2.) of our first paragraph). However, it certainly is a necessary condition. Thus we can only claim that it is plausible that at least some of these initial data sets that are singular to the future, are regular to the past.

Recall that in the conformal approach to solving the constraints [19] in the maximal case we have, in addition to the ‘background’ metric $\tilde{g}_{ab}$, a background extrinsic curvature $\tilde{p}_{ab}$ related to p'_{ab} , the physical extrinsic curvature, by $p'_{ab} = \tilde{\Phi}^{-2}\tilde{p}_{ab}$. In the calculation presented here we move the background geometry along a critical sequence while keeping the background extrinsic curvature bounded. The obvious alternative approach is to hold $\tilde{g}$ fixed and scale up the extrinsic curvature $\tilde{p}$. While there are obvious technical difficulties we expect that this approach would also work and give initial data which are significantly more time-asymmetric than those produced here. This approach deserves further study.

We restrict our attention to maximal initial data. The maximal condition allows a separation of the momentum constraint from the Hamiltonian constraint and reduces the problem of constructing initial data from a quasi-linear coupled system to the problem of solving a single quasi-linear elliptic equation. We probably can handle the situation where the trace of the extrinsic curvature, while not vanishing, is small. Unfortunately, a systematic technique along the lines of this paper giving TS’s in the non-maximal case requires a better understanding of the coupling between the Hamiltonian and momentum constraint than is presently available.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we recall the conformal approach to solving the Hamiltonian constraint in the maximal case and explain our basic assumptions. As in [6] we use a technique based on conformal compactification of 3-space $\tilde{M}$. On the resulting compact manifold M with metric g we use for simplicity, instead of the conformal invariant Y the quantity λ_1 , the lowest eigenvalue of the conformal Laplacian on (M, g) . The latter is conformally non-invariant, but is positive (zero) iff Y is positive (zero), and this sign condition is the only one we use. In Sect. 3 the notion of critical sequence is introduced and the theorem on TS-formation is proved. In the Appendix we prove an existence and uniqueness theorem for the Lichnerowicz equation appropriate for our setting.

2 Solving the Hamiltonian Constraint

The basic formula underlying the standard [19] method of solving the Hamiltonian constraint is as follows. Define the conformal Laplacian associated with the 3-metric g_{ab} by

$$L_g := -\Delta_g + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{R}[g], \quad (2.1)$$

$\Delta_g := g^{ab}D_a D_b$ being the standard Laplacian acting on scalars and $\mathcal{R}[g]$ the scalar curvature of g . Then, if we put

$$g'_{ab} = \omega^4 g_{ab}, \quad \Phi' = \omega^{-1} \Phi \quad \omega > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

we find that

$$L_{g'} \Phi' = \omega^{-5} L_g \Phi. \quad (2.3)$$

If in particular $\Phi > 0$ and we set $\omega = \Phi$, we have $\Phi' \equiv 1$ and there results the identity

$$\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{R}[g'] = \Phi^{-5} L_g \Phi. \quad (2.4)$$

Thus $\mathcal{R}[g']$ is zero if and only if Φ satisfies the ‘linear Lichnerowicz equation’ $L_g \Phi = 0$. Recall that $\mathcal{R} = 0$ is just the initial-value constraint in the time-symmetric case.

More generally, let us be given a trace-free, divergence-free w.r.t. to g_{ab} (TT-) tensor p_{ab} , i.e.

$$g^{ab} p_{ab} = 0, \quad D^a p_{ab} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Notice that Equ.-s (2.5) are conformally covariant if p_{ab} is transformed like

$$p'_{ab} = \omega^{-2} p_{ab}. \quad (2.6)$$

Suppose now that a positive scalar Φ is subject to the equation

$$L_g \Phi = \frac{1}{8} \Phi^{-7} p_{ab} p^{ab}, \quad \Phi > 0, \quad (2.7)$$

then the pair $(g'_{ab} = \Phi^4 g_{ab}, p'_{ab} = \Phi^{-2} p_{ab})$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}[g'] = \Phi^{-5} \Phi^{-7} p_{ab} p^{ab} = g'^{ac} g'^{bd} p'_{ac} p'_{bd} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$D'^a p'_{ab} = 0$$

that is to say the initial-value constraints of General Relativity in the maximal, source-free case. The set of pairs (g_{ab}, p_{ab}) , where p_{ab} is TT, subject to the equivalence relation $g_{ab} \sim g'_{ab}$ and $p_{ab} \sim p'_{ab}$ with $g'_{ab} = \omega^4 g_{ab}$ and $p'_{ab} = \omega^{-2} p_{ab}$ for some $\omega > 0$, are called the ‘free data’ for vacuum G.R. The word ‘free’ here is misleading for two reasons. Firstly, p_{ab} being TT comes from solving a system of partial differential equations. We will comment on how this can be done. Secondly, g_{ab} has to be such that the Lichnerowicz equation (2.7) has a positive solution Φ with the required global properties given the appropriate global properties of (M, g_{ab}, p_{ab}) . To these we now turn.

We want the ‘physical’ data g'_{ab}, p'_{ab} to be in a suitable sense asymptotically flat on some physical manifold $\widetilde{M}$. Our idea here is to obtain $(\widetilde{M}, g', p')$ by ‘conformal decompactification’ from (M, g, p) where M is a compact manifold. Recall that it is often convenient to describe asymptotic flatness of a Riemannian manifold $(\widetilde{M}, g')$ by the existence of a manifold $M = \widetilde{M} \cup \{\Lambda\}$, Λ being a point, and a function Ω on M , going to zero at Λ in such a manner that $g_{ab} = \Omega^2 g'_{ab}$ extends in a sufficiently regular way to a metric on M . But this transition from $\widetilde{M}$ to M has a drawback: whereas in the physical picture we have metrics, in the unphysical picture, due to the gauge freedom in Ω , we only have conformal metrics, and these are more complicated to deal with. In the present situation, however, it is precisely the conformal structure associated

with g_{ab} which is among the basic objects, so the above freedom is in fact desirable. Thus we take M to be compact and g_{ab} a smooth metric thereon. Pick a point $\Lambda \in M$, the point-at-infinity. (The results of the present section could easily be generalized to the case where there is an arbitrary but finite number of points-at-infinity.) We next define what we mean by an asymptotic distance function (ADF) w.r. to Λ . $\Omega^{1/2}$ is called an ADF, provided Ω is smooth on M , positive outside Λ and satisfies $(\Omega_a := D_a \Omega, \Omega_{ab} := D_a D_b \Omega, \text{ a.s.o.})$

$$\Omega|_{\Lambda} = 0, \quad \Omega_a|_{\Lambda} = 0, \quad (\Omega_{ab} - 2g_{ab})|_{\Lambda} = 0, \quad \Omega_{abc}|_{\Lambda} = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

For example, Ω could be taken to be the square of the geodesic distance from Λ . Given g_{ab} and Ω , the metric $\tilde{g}_{ab}$, defined by $\tilde{g}_{ab} = \Omega^{-2} g_{ab}$ is easily seen to be asymptotically flat on $\bar{M}$ and have vanishing ADM mass. More precisely, if x^a are coordinates centered at Λ , in which $g_{ab}|_{\Lambda} = \delta_{ab}$ and $\partial g_{ab}|_{\Lambda} = 0$, then $\tilde{x}^a = \Omega^{-1} x^a$ are coordinates in a punctured neighbourhood of Λ , in which

$$\tilde{g}_{ab} = \delta_{ab} + O(\Omega), \quad (2.10)$$

δ_{ab} being the Kronecker delta. Thus in order for $\Phi^4 g_{ab}$ to be an asymptotically flat metric the correct boundary condition for Φ is something like

$$\Phi = \Omega^{-1/2} + \frac{m}{2} + O(\Omega^{1/2}), \quad m = \text{constant}. \quad (2.11')$$

In fact, we shall have to weaken (2.11') by only requiring that

$$\Phi = \Omega^{-1/2} + \frac{m}{2} + O(\Omega^{\varepsilon/2}), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\partial \Phi = \partial(\Omega^{-1/2}) + O(\Omega^{(\varepsilon-1)/2}). \quad (2.12)$$

When Φ obeys (2.11,12), then $g'_{ab} = \Phi^4 g_{ab}$, in the $\tilde{x}^a$ -coordinates, satisfies the asymptotic relations

$$g'_{ab} = \left(1 + \frac{m\Omega^{1/2}}{2}\right) \delta_{ab} + O(\Omega^{(\varepsilon+1)/2}), \quad (2.13)$$

$$\partial g'_{ab} = \partial \left(1 + \frac{m\Omega^{1/2}}{2}\right) \delta_{ab} + O(\Omega^{\varepsilon/2}). \quad (2.14)$$

Writing $\tilde{r}^2 = \delta_{ab} \tilde{x}^a \tilde{x}^b$, we have

$$\Omega = \tilde{r}^{-2} + O(\tilde{r}^{-4}) \quad \text{near } \Lambda \quad (2.15)$$

so (2.13,14) are in fact the standard conditions for an asymptotically Schwarzschildian 3-metric. The boundary conditions for p'_{ab} , formulated in terms of p_{ab} , are as follows:

$$p_{ab} = O(\Omega^{-9/4+\varepsilon/4}), \quad (2.16)$$

$$\partial p_{ab} = O(\Omega^{-11/4+\varepsilon/4})$$

which implies that $p'_{ab} = \Phi^{-2} p_{ab}$ satisfies

$$p'_{ab} = O(\Omega^{3/4+\varepsilon/4}) = O(\tilde{r}^{-3/2-\varepsilon/2}), \quad (2.17)$$

$$\partial p'_{ab} = O(\tilde{r}^{-5/2-\varepsilon}).$$

The connection of the above ‘unphysical’ formulation with the standard physical formulation of the Lichnerowicz equation is as follows. We define fields on $\widetilde{M}$

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \Omega^{1/2}\Phi, \quad \tilde{p}_{ab} = \Omega p_{ab}, \quad (2.18)$$

so that $\tilde{\Phi} \rightarrow 1$ at infinity and $\tilde{p}_{ab}$ has the same asymptotic behaviour as p'_{ab} (Equ. (2.17)). Furthermore

$$L_{\tilde{g}}\tilde{\Phi} = \frac{1}{8}\tilde{\Phi}^{-7}\tilde{p}_{ab}\tilde{p}^{ab} \quad \text{on } \widetilde{M}. \quad (2.19)$$

The condition that the extrinsic curvature falls off faster than $\tilde{r}^{-3/2}$ is exactly the one that guarantees that the ADM mass be finite and well-defined [24]. Naively, to leading order the physical Lichnerowicz equation looks like $\tilde{\Delta}\tilde{\Phi} + \frac{1}{8}\tilde{p}_{ab}\tilde{p}^{ab} = 0$ with $\tilde{p}_{ab}\tilde{p}^{ab} = O(\tilde{r}^{-3-\varepsilon})$. Hence $\tilde{\Phi} = 1 + m/2\tilde{r} + O(\tilde{r}^{-1-\varepsilon})$. This gives us the standard Schwarzschildian behaviour at infinity.

Using the results of Chaljub-Simon [23] one can construct many p_{ab} ’s satisfying (2.5) and the boundary conditions (2.16) in the case where $M \cong S^3$ ($\widetilde{M} \cong \mathbf{R}^3$).

We now come to the issue of existence of solutions $\Phi > 0$, satisfying

$$L_g\Phi = \frac{1}{8}\Phi^{-7}p_{ab}p^{ab} \quad \text{on } M \setminus \Lambda \quad (2.20)$$

together with (2.11,12).

First recall that L_g is an essentially self-adjoint operator on $C^\infty(M)$ w.r. to the standard L^2 -inner product associated with the metric g . In addition, the spectrum of L_g is a pure point spectrum and is bounded from below. Let $\lambda_1(g)$ be its lowest eigenvalue.

Theorem: Let $\lambda_1(g) > 0$. Then (2.20), (2.11,12) have a unique solution which is smooth on $M \setminus \Lambda$.

Proof: It is known (see e.g. [20]) that the problem can be uniquely solved when p_{ab} is zero. Call the corresponding solution G and write

$$\Phi = G + h. \quad (2.21)$$

Then (2.20) takes the form

$$L_g h = \frac{1}{8}(1 + G^{-1}h)^{-7}G^{-7}p_{ab}p^{ab}, \quad (2.22)$$

where $G^{-1}h$ simply means pointwise multiplication. Note that the r.h. side of (2.22) diverges at the point Λ , but does so in a relatively mild fashion, i.e. like $O(r^{-2+\varepsilon})$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. This is enough for the existence theorem proven in the Appendix to hold.

3 Critical Sequences

Similarly as in [6] we call a sequence g_n of 3-metrics on M with $\lambda_1(g_n) > 0$ critical when it converges uniformly together with all its derivatives to a metric g_∞ with $\lambda_1(g_\infty) = 0$. We also require a critical sequence (CS) to be such that the metrics g_n and the connections ∂g_n all

coincide at the point Λ , so that an ADF $\Omega^{1/2}$ can be found which is independent of n . We furthermore assume that for each n we are given a TT-tensor p_{ab} which is smooth in $M \setminus \Lambda$ and satisfies (2.16), where the constants involved in the O -symbols are understood to be independent of n . The existence of such a sequence of p_{ab} 's, in the case where $M \cong S^3$, follows from the work of Chaljub-Simon [23]. (Basically the reason for this is that the criticality of the limiting metric g_∞ plays no role for the existence of a TT-tensor relative to g_∞ .) For typographical reason we omit the index “ n ” in the following expressions. Since $\lambda_1(g) > 0$, the operator L_g has a Green ‘function’ $G(x, x')$. The function $G(x)$ solving the time-symmetric constraints is, of course,

$$G(x) = G(\Lambda, x). \quad (3.1)$$

Thus the solution to Equ. (2.22) can be written as

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{8} \int_M G(x, x') [1 + (G^{-1}h)(x')]^{-7} (G^{-7} p_{ab} p^{ab})(x') dV_g(x'). \quad (3.2)$$

Let $\Omega^{1/2}(x, x')$ be the generalization of an ADF, with the point Λ replaced by an arbitrary point $x' \in M$. (Note that $\Omega(x, x')$ depends on n .) Then

$$G(x, x') = \Omega^{-1/2}(x, x') + \frac{\mu(x')}{2} + O(\Omega^{1/2}). \quad (3.3)$$

But

$$\Omega(x, x') = \Omega(x', x) [1 + O(\Omega)] \quad (3.4)$$

and, by virtue of the self-adjointness of L_g ,

$$G(x, x') = G(x', x), \quad (3.5)$$

so that $\mu(x')$ in (3.3) is in fact constant and thus identical with the ADM mass of the time-symmetric contribution to the initial-data set. Next, calling

$$G(x, x') - \Omega^{-1/2}(x, x') = \psi(x, x'), \quad (3.6)$$

it follows from the results in [6], that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \infty$ and there are positive, n -independent constants C, C' such that

$$C\mu_n \leq \psi \leq C'\mu_n. \quad (3.7)$$

(This is proved in [6] for the Green function $G(x)$, i.e. for x' at Λ where $g, \partial g$ are kept fixed. It is not difficult to see that the statement remains true in the present situation.)

Furthermore, in an arbitrary chart centered at x ,

$$D|x - x'|^2 \leq \Omega(x, x') \leq D'|x - x'|^2 \quad (3.8)$$

where $|x|^2 = \delta_{ab} x^a x^b$ and $D > 0, D' > 0$, both independent of n . Thus

$$G(x, x') \leq \text{const} \left[\frac{1}{|x - x'|} + \mu_n \text{ const} \right] \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\text{const} \left[\frac{1}{|x'|} + \text{const} \mu_n \right] \leq G(x'). \quad (3.10)$$

Similarly,

$$\partial G(x, x') \leq \text{const} \left[\frac{1}{|x - x'|^2} + \mu_n \text{const} \right]. \quad (3.11)$$

In order to estimate the integral in Equ. (3.2) for large n , it suffices to take the ‘worst’ part, i.e. the contribution where the integration is carried out over a neighbourhood N_Λ of Λ and where x lies in that neighbourhood (so that both the singularity of $G(x, x')$ at $x = x'$ and the singularity of $(G^{-7} p_{ab} p^{ab})(x')$ at $x' = \Lambda$ contribute). Inserting (3.9,10,11) together with (2.16) into (3.2) and using that the volume element $dV_g(x')$ has a uniform bound in terms of the Euclidean volume element, we are left with an integral of the form

$$I_n(x) = \int_{r' \leq R} \left(\frac{1}{|x - x'|} + \mu_n \text{const} \right) \left(\frac{1}{r'} + \mu_n \text{const} \right)^{-7} r'^{-9+\varepsilon} r'^2 dr' \sin \theta' d\theta' d\varphi', \quad (3.12)$$

$$r < R, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1,$$

where r', θ', φ' are to be thought of as polar coordinates coming from a chart x' centered at Λ . After elementary integrations we find that

$$I_n = O(\mu^{-\varepsilon}), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1. \quad (3.13)$$

Similarly, to estimate ∂h , it suffices, using (3.9,10,11) and (2.16), to consider the quantity

$$J_n(x) = \int_{r' \leq R} \left[\frac{1}{|x - x'|^2} + \mu_n \text{const} \right] \frac{r'^\varepsilon}{(1 + \mu_n \text{const} r')^7} dr' \sin \theta' d\theta' d\varphi', \quad 0 < r < R. \quad (3.14)$$

We easily see that

$$J_n(x) = O(r^{\varepsilon-1}), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1 \quad (3.15)$$

Recalling that $\Phi(x) = G(x) + h(x)$ and using (3.7, 3.13, 3.15), it follows that

$$\Phi = \Omega^{-1/2} + \frac{\mu_n}{2} + \mu_n O(\Omega^{1/2}) + O(\mu_n^{-\varepsilon}) \quad (3.16),$$

$$\partial \Phi = \partial(\Omega^{-1/2}) + \mu_n O(1) + O(\Omega^{(\varepsilon-1)/2}), \quad (3.17)$$

where $\Omega^{1/2}$ is an ADF corresponding to the point Λ . Now consider level surfaces of Ω . When Ω is sufficiently small but positive, these are embedded two-spheres. Let n'^a be the unit normal with respect to the physical metric $g'_{ab} = \Phi^4 g_{ab}$, pointing towards Λ . Then the surface is a TS, provided the quantity H defined by

$$H = D'_a n'^a + p'_{ab} n'^a n'^b \quad (3.18)$$

is negative on the surface. We rewrite (3.28) in terms of unbarred quantities. Using

$$n'^a = -\Phi^{-2} \frac{\Omega^a}{(\Omega_c \Omega^c)^{1/2}}, \quad (3.19)$$

we obtain for the first term in (3.18) the expression

$$-D'_a n'^a = \frac{\Omega^{-1/2} \Phi^{-3}}{(\Omega_c \Omega^c)^{1/2}} \left[\Omega^{1/2} \Phi \left(g^{ab} - \frac{\Omega^a \Omega^b}{\Omega_d \Omega^d} \right) \Omega_{ab} + 4 \Omega^{1/2} \Phi_a \Omega^a \right]. \quad (3.20)$$

From the definition (2.9) of Ω and the properties of a CS, we easily find

$$\left(g^{ab} - \frac{\Omega^a \Omega^b}{\Omega_d \Omega^d}\right) \Omega_{ab} = 4 + O(\Omega) \text{ uniformly in } n. \quad (3.21)$$

Using (3.16,17), there results

$$D'_a n'^a = \frac{4\Omega^{-1/2}\Phi^{-3}}{(\Omega_c \Omega^c)^{1/2}} \left[1 - \frac{\mu_n}{2} \Omega^{1/2} + \mu_n O(\Omega) + O(\Omega^{1/2} \mu_n^{-\varepsilon}) + O(\Omega^{(1+\varepsilon)/2})\right]. \quad (3.22)$$

We now have to estimate the p'_{ab} -term in Equ. (3.18). Using $p'_{ab} = \Phi^{-2} p_{ab}$ and (3.19) we obtain

$$p'_{ab} n'^a n'^b = \frac{4\Omega^{-1/2}\Phi^{-3}}{(\Omega_c \Omega^c)^{1/2}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{\Phi^{-3} \Omega^{1/2}}{(\Omega_d \Omega^d)^{1/2}} p_{ab} \Omega^a \Omega^b \right]. \quad (3.23)$$

Due to (3.16) we have that $\Phi \geq \Omega^{-1/2}$ for large n . Using this fact and (2.16) we see that the bracket in (3.23) is $O(\Omega^{(1+\varepsilon)/4})$. Combining this estimate with (3.22) it follows that H is negative provided the quantity

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{\mu_n}{2} \Omega^{1/2} + A\mu_n \Omega + B\Omega^{(1+\varepsilon)/4}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1 \quad (3.24)$$

is negative, where A, B are suitable positive constants independent of n . It can be shown that there exist positive constants (independent of n) α and β such that η is negative for

$$\frac{2}{\mu_n} + \frac{\alpha}{\mu_n^{3/2}} \leq \Omega^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2A} - \frac{\beta}{\mu_n} \quad (3.25)$$

when n is large enough. This completes the proof of our theorem.

Appendix

We do not attempt to formulate a theorem under the most general conditions. The equation we want to solve is of the following form

$$L_g h = (1 + G^{-1}h)^{-7} \rho \quad \text{on } M' = M \setminus \Lambda \quad (A.1)$$

where G^{-1} is a positive C^0 -function on M . The source $\rho(x)$ is also positive on M' and smooth. In coordinates x^a centered at Λ , it behaves like

$$\rho(x) = O(r^{-2+\varepsilon}), \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1, \quad (A.2)$$

$$\partial \rho(x) = O(r^{-3+\varepsilon}). \quad (A.3)$$

The metric g on M is such that $\lambda_1(g) > 0$. Hence the operator L_g in (A.1) has an everywhere positive Green function $G(x, x')$. Instead of (A.1), we first try to solve equation

$$h = \mathcal{F}[h], \quad (A.4)$$

where $\mathcal{F}[h]$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}[h])(x) = \int_M G(x, x')(1 + G^{-1}h)^{-7}(x')\rho(x')dV_g(x'). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

We are thus seeking a fixed point of the mapping $\mathcal{F}$. When x' is in a local neighbourhood of x , $G(x, x')$ satisfies

$$G(x, x') = \frac{1}{|x - x'|} + O(1), \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\partial G(x, x') = \partial \left(\frac{1}{|x - x'|} \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{|x - x'|} \right) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

with $|x - x'|^2 = g_{ab}(x)(x - x')^a(x - x')^b$. (A.6) and the fact that $G > 0$ imply that $\mathcal{F}$ maps continuous, non-negative functions into themselves. Let ψ be the continuous function on M defined by

$$\psi = \mathcal{F}[0] \quad (\text{A.8})$$

and U the space

$$U = \{h \in C^0(M) | 0 \leq h(x) \leq \psi(x)\} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

which is clearly a closed, convex subset of the Banach space $C^0(M)$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is pointwise decreasing, it maps U into itself. Let h be in the image of U under $\mathcal{F}$. Then, by (A.7), it is easily seen to be in $C^1(M \setminus \Lambda)$ with a singularity at Λ of the form

$$\partial h = O(r^{\varepsilon-1}). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

From this one easily deduces that h is bounded in $C^{0,1-\varepsilon}(M)$. Thus $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is pre-compact in $C^0(M)$. Furthermore, for $h_1, h_2 \in U$, there holds

$$|\mathcal{F}[h_1] - \mathcal{F}[h_2]| \leq C|h_1 - h_2|, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where C is independent of h_1, h_2 . Thus $\mathcal{F}$, defined on U , is continuous. By the Schauder fixed point theorem (see e.g. Gilbarg-Trudinger [25], p. 221) it now follows that there exists $h \in U$, such that

$$h = \mathcal{F}[h]. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Again, this implies that $h \in C^{0,1-\varepsilon}(M)$. Thus the function $(1 + G^{-1}h)^{-7}$ in (A.5) is Lipschitz continuous. Furthermore, by virtue of (A.2,3), ρ satisfies

$$|\rho(x) - \rho(y)| \leq C \frac{|x - y|}{[\min(|x|, |y|)]^{3-\varepsilon}} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

near Λ . Using these facts in (A.5) together with standard potential theoretic estimates analogous to [25, p. 54] we infer that $h \in C^2(M \setminus \Lambda)$ and satisfies (A.1). Furthermore $\Phi = G + h$ obeys the boundary conditions (2.11,12).

Finally, for completeness sake, we show, along the lines of Cantor [26], that the above solution is unique. Suppose, assuming the contrary, that there are two solutions, Φ_1 and Φ_2 , solving Equ. (2.20). Then, defining

$$\bar{g}_{ab} = G^4 g_{ab}, \quad \bar{\Phi}_i = G^{-1} \Phi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$L_{\bar{g}}\bar{\Phi} = -\Delta_{\bar{g}}\bar{\Phi} = G^{-5}\sigma(x, \bar{\Phi}), \quad \text{on } \widetilde{M} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

where σ is a nowhere increasing function of $\bar{\Phi}$. Thus, with ψ defined by $\psi = \bar{\Phi}_1 - \bar{\Phi}_2$, we have

$$-\bar{\Delta}\psi = \sigma(x, \bar{\Phi}_1) - \sigma(x, \bar{\Phi}_2). \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Suppose ψ is anywhere non-zero, say positive. Then there is an open set $\mathcal{O} = \{x \in \widetilde{M} | \psi > 0\}$ which is not the zero-set with $\psi = 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{O}$. Thus

$$\bar{\Delta}\psi \geq 0 \quad (\text{A.17})$$

which, by virtue of the maximum principle, is a contradiction. Exchanging the role of $\bar{\Phi}_1$ and $\bar{\Phi}_2$ we find that, in fact, $\bar{\Phi}_1 \equiv \bar{\Phi}_2$. This ends the uniqueness proof.

References

- [1] Penrose R 1965 Phys. Rev. Lett. *14* 57
- [2] Chrusciel P 1991 Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematics and its Applications *27*
- [3] Christodoulou D Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. *94* 339
- [4] Nakamura T, Shapiro S L, Teukolsky S A 1988 Phys. Rev. *D38* 2972
- [5] Shapiro S L, Teukolsky S A 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. *66* 994
- [6] Beig R and Ó Murchadha N 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. *66* 2421
- [7] Abrahams A M, Heiderich K R, Shapiro S L and Teukolsky S A 1992 Phys. Rev. *D46* 2452
- [8] Ó Murchadha N 1993 in: Directions in General Relativity II: A Festschrift for Dieter Brill, ed. by B.L. Hu and T. Jacobson, London: Cambridge University Press, in press
- [9] Bizon P, Malec E and Ó Murchadha N 1989 Class. Quantum Grav. *6* 961
- [10] Malec E 1992 Mod. Phys. Lett. *A7* 679
- [11] Flanagan E 1992 Phys. Rev. *D46* 1429
- [12] Tod P 1992 Class. Quantum Grav. *9* 1581
- [13] Barrabes C, Gramain A, Lesigne E and Letelier P S 1992 Class. Quantum Grav. *9* L105
- [14] Schoen R and Yau S.-T 1983 Commun. Math. Phys. *90* 575
- [15] Ó Murchadha N 1986 Phys. Rev. Lett. *57* 2466
- [16] Bartnik R in: Mathematical Relativity Proceedings of the CMA Vol. 19, Australian Nat. Univ. (ed. R. Bartnik)
- [17] Eppley K 1977 Phys. Rev. *D16* 1609
- [18] Miyama S 1981 Prog. Theor. Phys. *65* 894
- [19] Choquet-Bruhat Y and York J W Jr. 1980 in: General Relativity and Gravitation (ed. A. Held) Plenum N.Y.
- [20] Lee J M and Parker J H 1987 Bull. Am. Math. Soc. *17* 37
- [21] Ó Murchadha N 1989 in: Mathematical Relativity Proceedings of the CMA Vol. 19, Australian Nat. Univ. (ed. R. Bartnik)
- [22] Bartnik R Chrusciel P T and Ó Murchadha N 1990 Commun. Math. Phys. *130* 95
- [23] Chaljub-Simon A 1981 Gen. Rel. Grav. *14* 743
- [24] Bartnik R 1986 Commun. Pure App. *49* 661
 Chrusciel P 1986 Class. Quantum Grav. *3* L 115
 Ó Murchadha N 1986 Journ. Math. Phys. *27* 2111

- [25] Gilbarg D, Trudinger N S 1977 Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order (Springer)
- [26] Cantor M 1979 Journ. Math. Phys. *20* 1741